



4602 Committee

Michael Winikoff (chair), Eneko Aguirre, Noa Agmon, Christian Blum, Longbing Cao, Sergio Grecco, Reza Haffari

Decision

Conference to be ranked as A\*

Justification

ICRA was on the list to be reviewed since its metrics indicated that it might be ranked too low (was "B").

The PC is strong, and the stronger members of the PC publish at ICRA. The centile graphs are clearly in the A/A\* range, and the analysis of area leaders was well constructed, and showed ICRA as the top robotics conference (consistent with the Google h5).

The only negative indicator noted was a somewhat high acceptance rate, but this was discussed in the submission and, overall, given the explanation and the indicators, we disregarded the acceptance rates as a negative indicator.

In considering which of ICRA and IROS should be the flagship, the committee considered a range of indicators, and also sought an opinion from a strong researcher who had published roughly equally in both. All of these indicated that ICRA would be considered ahead of IROS.