

Date: Oct 6 2017

Committee: Franck Cassez, Alistair Moffat, John Grundy, Stephen McDonnell, Lin Padgham

There were initially duplicate entries for this conference, one ranked A, one ranked C. An earlier committee, with limited data had determined that it did not look like an A, so keep the C ranking. Following reactions from members of the community, a more comprehensive data collection was done and provided to this committee for an out-of-cycle review. (We note that the reasons for re-ranking given in the data document provided is a higher acceptance rate. This was not the reason - the reason was identification and management of duplicate entries.)

The majority of the committee felt that on the data this looked borderline between an A and a B ranked venue. However it was clearly better than some other currently A ranked venues for which we do not have comprehensive data. Consequently the decision was to remove the C ranking and retain the A ranking, but with a decision to collect data and review a number of the conferences in this area as a group as soon as time and resources allow.

Conferences to be reviewed in this group include:

CAV - A* and a benchmark

TACAS - A

SCOPES - A (looks inferior to SAS)

CASES - A (looks inferior to SAS)

LCTES - A currently

CC - A currently

VMCAI - B currently (but maybe should be higher?)

Others may also be identified.